Page (4) of 30

IN THE HONOURABLE CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE

AT BILASPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 132/03/2002

PETITIONERS : 1. Radhe Shyam Sahu S/o. Shri G.R. Sahu aged 48 year (A.Teacher) Govt. High School Arajpuri, Tahsil Dondilohara, Distt. Durg (Chhattisgarh.

2. Teku Ram Gouitam S/o Late Shri K.L. Gouitam aged 28 year (Asst. Teacher) Govt. High School Arajpuri Tahsil Dondilohara, Distt. Durg (Chhattisgarh)

3. Sagan Lal Vinayak S/o Shri J.R. Vinayak aged 42 year(H.G.Teacher) Midile School Banjari Tahsil Dondilohara Distt. Durg (C.G.).

P.R. No. ..... Presented by Shri M.K. Beg ..... dated ..... 31.12.02 .....

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through; it's Principal Secretary Department of School Deucation Mantralaya, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

2. Additional Secretary, Department of School Education Mantralaya, Raipur, (Chhattisgarh).

3. District Education Officer, District; Durge (Chhattisgarh).

4. Sankul in-charge/principal, Govt. Higher Secondery School Arajpuri Tahsil Dondilohara, Distt. Durg (Chhattisgarh).

शपथ आयुक्त एस.के. शुक्ला बिलासपुर (म.प्र.) बिलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट

RECEIVED COPY. CLERK TO G.A. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

The petitioner do hereby most respectfully begs to submit as under :-

..2..

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(17)

# आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 132 सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

01.04.2003

Shri Raj Kamal Singh, counsel for the petitioner.

State by Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Advocate.

Heard.

By this writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner prays for a direction commanding the respondents to reinstate the Sankul System which has been replaced by the old system of the year 1987.

Petitioner submits that the State of M.P. after due consideration introduced the Sankul system of 1997 and gave powers to the Principals who are the Sankul-in Charge so that they can understand and handle the difficulties of the teachers. The teachers are well in touch with the Principles and the grievance of the teaching staff regarding clearing of their bills etc., are redressed promptly in an amicable manner. Now the State of Chhattisgarh has abolished the Sankul System and introduced the old system of system of

[पीछे देखिये

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(18)

## आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2- | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

1987 and the powers vested in Principles have been transferred to Block Education Officers who are already work loaded. It is submitted that it would be difficult for the teachers to rush to the office of Block Education Office for redressal of their grievances, which not only amounts to wastage of their time and money, but would adversely affect the interest of the teachers and students as well. It is further submitted that Sankul Vyavastha is still better than the system of 1987 that has been abolished by an unreasonable order.

The petitioner has not submitted any representation nor raised any demand of justice before the authorities and rushed to this court for redressal of its grievance.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, ends of justice would be served if the petitioner makes a detailed representation alongwith copy

[पीछे देखिये

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(19)

# आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -3- | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

of this writ petition before the competent authority for redressal of its grievance.

Let the petitioner make a detailed representation alongwith a copy of this order before respondent no.1 and 2 within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and on such a representation being filed, the same shall be considered and decided as early as possible preferably within 3 weeks from the date of representation. In case any adverse order is passed and the petitioner thereby feels aggrieved, he may challenge the same.

This petition is disposed of with the observation/liberty aforesaid. Consequently, M.W.P. 1132/03 and I.A.No. 492/03 stand disposed of.

C.C. as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Rao

[पीछे देखिये